BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
n the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7326	
ANNA KHOURY SHAMIYA AKA ANNA NADIEM KHOURY O. Box 1373 kiah, CA 95482	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
dvanced Practice Pharmacist License No. PH 10802		
Respondent.		
<u>FINDINGS</u>	OF FACT	
1. On or about August 8, 2022, Complain	nant Anne Sodergren, in her official capacity as	
the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, filed		
Accusation No. 7326 against Ranna Khoury Shamiya (Respondent) before the Board.		
(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
2. On or about August 23, 2005, the Boa	rd issued Pharmacist License No. 57440 to	
Respondent. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the		
charges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will expire on May 31, 2023, unless renewed.		
3. On or about June 16, 2020, the Board	issued Advanced Practice Pharmacist License	
5. On or about suite 10, 2020, the Doald	Issued 1 Id vall	
	the Matter of the Accusation Against: ANNA KHOURY SHAMIYA AKA ANNA NADIEM KHOURY D. Box 1373 kiah, CA 95482 riginal Pharmacist License No. RPH 57440 Ivanced Practice Pharmacist License No. PH 10802 Respondent. FINDINGS 1. On or about August 8, 2022, Complain Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Italian No. 7326 against Ranna Khoury Shamicusation attached as Exhibit A.) 2. On or about August 23, 2005, the Board pondent. The Pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the property of the Polymer Pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will or the pharmacist License was in full forges brought in Accu	

Number APH 10802 to Respondent. The Advanced Practice Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 7326 and will expire on May 31, 2023, unless renewed.

- 4. On or about August 9, 2022, Respondent was served by Certified Mail copies of the Accusation No. 7326, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

 PO Box 1373
 Ukiah, CA 95482.
- 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505 subdivision, (c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506, subdivision (c) states, in pertinent part:

The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

- 7. The Board takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 7326.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520, subdivision (a) states, in pertinent part:

If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent

9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 7326, are separately and severally, found

1	This Decision shall become ef	fective on November 30, 2022 at 5:00 p.m.
2	It is so ORDERED on October 31, 2022.	
3		FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
4		DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5		
6		
7	Ву	Jeung W. Ch
8		Correct W. Ole Phone D.
9		Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President
10 11		
12	91540355.DOCX DOJ Matter ID:OK2022900130	
13	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation	
14	Exhibit 11. Accusation	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JULIANNE MOSSLER				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 243749				
5	State Bar No. 243749 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550				
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-1349				
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFOR	E THE			
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7326			
13 RANNA KHOURY SHAMIYA AKA RANNA NADIEM KHOURY P.O. Box 1373 Ukiah, CA 95482 ACCUSATION		ACCUSATION			
15	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 57440				
1617	Advanced Practice Pharmacist License No. APH 10802				
18	Respondent.				
19					
20	PART				
21		s this Accusation solely in her official capacity			
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.				
23	2. On or about August 23, 2005, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH				
24	57440 to Respondent Ranna Khoury Shamiya AKA Ranna Nadiem Khoury (Respondent). The				
25	Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this				
26	Accusation and will expire on May 31, 2023, unle				
27	3. On or about June 16, 2020, the Board issued Advanced Practice Pharmacist License				
28	Number APH 10802 to Respondent. The Advanc	ed Practice Pharmacist License was in full force			
		1			

and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on May 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 6. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
- 7. Section 4300.1 of the Code provides that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a Board-issued license, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee, shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- 9. Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following:

. . .

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision.

. . .

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

. . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
- (a) For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Section 141 or Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the practice, profession, or occupation that may be performed under the license type sought or held if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of an applicant or licensee to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
- (b) In making the substantial relationship determination required under subdivision (a) for a crime, the board will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) The nature and gravity of the offense;

1	under Code section 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of		
2	Regulations, title 16, sections 1770, in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to		
3	the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensed Pharmacist. The circumstances are set forth		
4	in paragraph 12, above.		
5	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)		
6			
7	14. Respondent has subjected her Advanced Practice Pharmacist License to disciplinary		
8	action under Code section 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of		
9	Regulations, title 16, sections 1770, in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to		
10	the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensed Pharmacist. The circumstances are set forth		
11	in paragraph 12, above.		
12	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
13	(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit or Corruption)		
14	15. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (f) of the Code, in		
15	that she committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption as		
16	described in paragraph 12, above.		
17	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
18	(Unprofessional Conduct)		
19	16. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301 of the Code in that she		
20	engaged in unprofessional conduct as described in paragraph 12, above.		
21	<u>PRAYER</u>		
22	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this		
23	Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
24	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License number 57440, issued to Ranna Khoury		
25	Shamiya;		
26	2. Revoking or suspending Advanced Practice Pharmacist License number APH 10802		
27	issued to Ranna Khoury Shamiya;		
28	3. Ordering Ranna Khoury Shamiya to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs		

1	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code			
2	section 125	section 125.3; and,		
3	4.	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
4				
5	DATED:	8/8/2022	Signature on File	
6			ANNE SODERGREN Executive Officer	
7			Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
8			State of California Complainant	
9	OK2022900130			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
2728				
20			4	
			6	